Intrusion of Overerupted Molars by Corticotomy and Fixed Orthodontic Appliances

ABSTRACT
In this article, a review of the literature regarding the use of selective alveolar corticotomies (SAC) combined with orthodontic treatment was conducted, and the results of its use in a patient with overerupted maxillary 1st molars are described. Adequate intrusion was obtained within a short period of time, the protocol avoided the necessity of removal of sound tooth structure for crown lengthening, endodontic treatment, and full crown prosthetic restorations. Therefore, the obtained results indicate that SAC/orthodontic use is efficient in the intrusion of overerupted 1st molars and suggest its potential use in the treatment of other malocclusions.

Keywords: Malocclusion, Oral, Surgery, Tooth movement.

How to cite this article: de Souza MLAH, Soares RV, Zenóbio EG, Pithon MM, Oliveira DD. Intrusion of Overerupted Molars by Corticotomy and Fixed Orthodontic Appliances. Int J Experiment Dent Sci 2016;5(1):78-82.

INTRODUCTION
The correction of overerupted maxillary molars is considered one of the most challenging orthodontic procedures because of the difficulty in applying intrusive forces in the appropriate direction. Prolonged treatment time, compared with other types of corrective tooth movement, is another complicating factor, especially in adult patients.1,2 Alternative restorative procedures are also available if intrusion is not orthodontically obtained. However, these alternative procedures are complex and more aggressive because most of the time they include removal of sound tooth structure, endodontic treatment, and sometimes full crown prosthetic restorations.2-4

The use of conventional fixed orthodontic appliances to intrude overerupted maxillary molars may lead to undesired movements of the adjacent teeth, compromising the result and further increasing the treatment time.1 Other alternatives to correct overerupted upper molars include high-pull headgears,5 removable appliances associated with elastics6 or magnets,7 and modified transpalatal bars.8 However, these approaches are technically difficult and usually demand excellent patient cooperation.

Temporary skeletal anchorage devices opened a new frontier in orthodontics as an auxiliary tool to facilitate some challenging orthodontic tooth movements, such as the intrusion of posterior teeth. However, these devices have limitations9,10 because they may not be placed in the ideal location when posterior intrusive forces are required.11 In such cases, a combination of orthodontic forces and localized alveolar corticotomies might be considered as an alternative to intrude overerupted maxillary molars.1,12

The combination of alveolar bone corticotomies and orthodontic treatment has been used as an alternative approach to facilitate the treatment of complex malocclusions1,12 and/or to decrease the overall treatment time.13-15 Although corticotomies are interventions limited to the alveolar bone cortical plate, the resultant surgical wound seems to boost the biological response to the mechanical stimuli applied shortly after the surgery by different orthodontic appliances.1,13,16,17 Another possible explanation to this favorable biologic response is that the bone blocks created by the surgical cuts move when heavier orthodontic forces are applied immediately after the surgery.18,19

There are a number of case reports in the literature demonstrating successful posterior teeth intrusion through combined orthodontic forces and alveolar decortications.1,2,20,21 The cases showed a shorter treatment time when compared with conventional orthodontic methods.5-8 However, most of the appliances used in these case reports were removable and strongly relied on patient cooperation. The purpose of this clinical presentation is to describe the successful combination of localized alveolar corticotomy and conventional fixed orthodontic appliances to intrude both maxillary first molars in an adult female patient.
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standard edgewise brackets (Dentsply Gac International, Bohemia, New York, USA) were bonded to the remaining teeth. Leveling and alignment procedures were uneventful and mild interproximal reductions were performed to alleviate the existing crowding. Routine archwire sequence bypassing the maxillary first molars was developed until a 0.21 × 0.25" stainless steel archwire was inserted also bypassing the overerupted teeth. One week after surgical decortications, a segmented 0.17 × 0.25" heat-activated archwire (Neosentaloy, Dentsply Gac International, Bohemia, New York, USA) was inserted in the auxiliary slots in the bands of both second premolars and second molars and tied to the brackets of the first molars to initiate intrusion (Figs 2A and B). Five weeks later, the 0.17 × 0.25" wire was replaced by another segmented thermoactivated archwire (0.18 × 0.25" Neo-Sentalloy; Dentsply Gac International, Bohemia, New York, USA) to conclude the intrusion.

Adequate intrusion of the maxillary first molars was achieved after approximately 4 months of active treatment with the thermoactivated archwires (Figs 3A and B). Clinical observations and cephalometric superimpositions confirmed the intrusion with no undesired movement of the adjacent teeth (Figs 4 and 5).

Surgical Procedure

Full-thickness buccolingual gingival flaps were reflected exposing the cortical bone in both palatal and buccal sides of the maxillary first molars, and selective decortication was performed under local anesthesia based on a previously reported protocol.2 Two vertical bone cuts, mesial and distal to the maxillary first molars, were made extending from 2 mm above the alveolar crest up to approximately 2 mm beyond the root apices and then connected horizontally. Nine small round perforations were made in this area (Figs 6 and 7). The procedure was performed on the palatal and buccal sides.

CASE REPORT

A 21-year-old Brazilian woman of mixed ethnic background was referred to the Graduate Orthodontic Clinic of the School of Dentistry, for routine evaluation prior to prosthodontic treatment. The major concerns of the prosthodontist were the overerupted maxillary first molars (Figs 1A and B). The left and right first molars were extruded 4.6 and 2.4 mm respectively.

Intraoral examination revealed that the patient had a mild crowding in the maxillary arch and spacing in the lower arch due to the absence of the mandibular second premolars and the left first molar. The poor dental care and the absence of the lower teeth contributed to the overeruption of the maxillary first molars. She had a class II deep bite malocclusion, with the upper midline coincident with the face and the lower midline slightly shifted to the right. There were no relevant skeletal or facial discrepancies and no signs and symptoms of temporomandibular joint disorders. Her medical history was uneventful. Her chief complaint was the absence of teeth and she sought reconstructive treatment to achieve a full complement of teeth. She was aware that reconstruction was not necessary for normal function and she declined full comprehensive orthodontic treatment.

Because of the patient’s restrictions on the use of complex orthodontic appliances, a treatment plan was designed to intrude the maxillary first molars in a speedy and objective manner. The clinical procedures consisted of surgical decortications of compact bone associated with a fixed orthodontic appliance in the upper arch. The patient was informed of the disadvantages and advantages of the selected treatment plan. She agreed to proceed and signed the consent form.

Orthodontic Treatment

Bands with double buccal tubes were cemented in the maxillary second molars and second premolars, and

Figs 1A and B: Pretreatment intraoral photographs: (A) Right side, and (B) Left side
clinical options including conventional orthodontic treatment, enamel reduction, endodontic treatment, periodontal surgery, and restoration of the affected tooth can provide a more favorable restorative condition. In conventional orthodontic treatment, the clinician will have to deal with reactive movement of the anchorage sides. All bones cuts were made with a 2.0 mm round bur (Meisinger USA LLC, Centennial, Colorado, USA) under continuous and abundant irrigation with cold sterile saline solution. Finally, the flaps were repositioned and sutured. The surgical procedure was well tolerated by the patient and no unusual pain or discomfort was reported.

**DISCUSSION**

Extruded molars as a result of the loss of teeth in the opposing arch can be a challenge to the restorative dentist when placing an implant or a replacement crown. Several
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First, second, and third molars, and the routine clinical procedures are complex and most possibly unnecessary.

An efficient and balanced force system to intrude a tooth is obtained when a low and continuous force is applied to the center of resistance of that tooth. Alveolar bone decortications have been proven to promote rapid tooth movement. Orthodontic movement in decorticated bone takes 60–70% less time than in nondecorticated bone. Animal studies and clinical reports have demonstrated the efficiency of corticotomy in facilitating orthodontics. Alveolar bone corticotomy permits faster tooth movement because it reduces the main source of resistance which is encountered in the cortical layer. It can be applied to a single tooth or a group of teeth.

Increased bone turnover has been reported in the literature as late as 6 months after bone fracture. The case presented demonstrates that it is possible to initiate successful orthodontic movement 7 days after the decortication procedure and achieve the desired results in shorter treatment times than those obtained with conventional orthodontic mechanics. Recent studies have demonstrated that after corticotomy, the total amount of orthodontic movement is approximately two times faster than without decortication. The authors reported using heavy forces and suggested that tooth movement within the alveolar bone framework may explain the treatment time obtained. Differently, in the case presently reported, light and continuous forces were used, which may have contributed to the differences in total treatment time. In addition, the auxiliary tubes welded to the premolar and second molar bands represent a low-friction system that allowed free sliding of the heat-activated wires used for intrusion. Such mechanical characteristics may also have contributed to increase the efficiency of the protocol used.

CONCLUSION

Treatment time was short when compared with conventional orthodontic treatment.

This treatment protocol avoided removal of sound tooth structure, endodontic treatment, periodontal surgery for crown lengthening, crown restorations, and placement of temporary anchorage devices.

Based on sound scientific evidence, this patient was successfully treated by a combination of corticotomy and fixed appliances with a low and continuous force released by thermoactivated archwires.
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